☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2 FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Blanco Cardona, P.

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2628



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001040

Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.		With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		1 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the followin language. which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.		ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ccessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a. type of material:			material:	
	[⊐a	sequence listing	
		⊐ ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. fo	ormat of material:		
		⊐ in	written format	
		⊐in	computer readable form	
c. tin		me of	e of filing/fumishing:	
		⊐ co	ntained in the international application as filed.	
		□ file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
] fu	mished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		has b	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing andor table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.	
4.	. Additional comments:			

E-- DOTICA (007 / I----- 0004)

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-23 No: Claims 24,25

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims
No: Claims

No: Claims 1-25

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-25 No: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US 2003/050042 A1 (OLAH GEZA) 13 March 2003 (2003-03-13)
- D2: US 2001/054064 A1 (KANNAN PALLIPURAM V) 20 December 2001 (2001-12-20)

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

a method simplifying the technical infrastructure deployed in a system for processing questions sent from a mobile telephone over a wireless bearer, comprising the steps of receiving a question sent from the mobile phone (paragraphs 12-13), handling the question and sending the answer in plain text to the mobile phone (paragraph 14); wherein the question is not restricted to any category of question types and is sent using a premium rate text service (paragraphs 8 and 32).

The following features are also defined in claim 1:

- handling the question by sending it out for review by one or more human researchers to compose an answer.
- the question is expressed in natural language.

Both features are well-known common aspects in the provision of knowledge based services as shown in document D2 (see cited passages of the ISR).

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve any inventive step.

Independent claims 24(**) and 25 only define features corresponding to some of the features defined in claim 1. Said features are already disclosed in D1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001040

For claim 24(**), see D1, paragraph 14. For claim 25, see D1, paragraphs 3 and 14.

Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claims 24(**) and 25 is not novel.

Dependent claims 2-24(*) do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1 and D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

Claim number 24 has been mistakenly used twice in the filled set of claims. References including a (*) refer to the first instance of said claim number in the set of claims and the references including a (**) refer to the second instance of said claim number in the set of claims.